arXiv:math/0612500v2 [math.GR] 8 Jan 2007

Classification of Element Systems over Finite Commutative Groups

Shouchuan Zhang ^{a}, Min Wu ^{a,b}, Hengtai Wang ^{a}
a: Department of Mathematics, Hunan University, Changsha, 410082
b: Department of Mathematics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084

Abstract

We obtain the formula computing the number of isomorphic classes of element systems with characters over finite commutative group G.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W30, 05H99.
Keywords: Euler function, character, commutative group.

0 Introduction

Classification of Hopf algebras was developed and popularized in the last decade of the twentieth century, which would have applications to a number of other areas of mathematics, aside from its intrinsic algebraic interest. In mathematical physics, Drinfeld's and Jambo's work was to provide solutions to quantum Yang-Baxter equation. In conformal field theory, I. Frenkel and Y. Zhu have shown how to assign a Hopf algebra to any conformal field theory model[8]. In topology, quasi-triangular and ribbon Hopf algebras provide many invariants of knots, links, tangles and 3-manifolds[9, 11, 14, 15]. In operator algebras, Hopf algebras can be assigned as an invariant for certain extensions.

Researches on the classification of Hopf algebra is in the ascendant. N. Andruskiewitsch and H. J. Schneider have obtained interesting result in classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras with commutative coradical [1, 2, 3, 4]. More recently, they have also researched this problem in case of non-commutative coradical. Pavel Etingof and Shlomo Gelaki gave the complete and explicit classification of finite-dimensional triangular Hopf algebras over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0 [7]. The classification of monomial Hopf algebras, which are a class of co-path Hopf algebras, and simple-pointed sub-Hopf algebras of co-path Hopf algebras were recently obtained in [6] and [12], respectively.

Assume that k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero with a primitive $\mid G \mid$th root of 1 and G is a finite abelian group. Element systems with characters can be applied to classify quiver Hopf algebras, multiple Taft algebras over G and Nichols algebras in ${}^{FG}_{FG}\mathcal{YD}$ (see [17, Theorem 3, Theorem 4]). In this paper, we obtained the formula computing the number of isomorphic classes of element systems with characters over finite commutative group G.

In Section 2 we give the explicit formula computing the number of isomorphic classes of element systems over finite cycle p-groups. In Section 3 we give the explicit formula computing the number of isomorphic classes of element systems over finite cycle groups. In Section 4 we give the explicit formula computing the number of isomorphic classes of element systems of primary commutative groups. In Section 5 we give the formula computing the number of isomorphic classes of element systems over finite commutative groups. Unfortunately, we have not found the explicit formula in the general case; we only change the problem into the numbers of solutions of congruence class equations (5.3) and (5.4).

1 Preliminaries

Unless specified otherwise, in the paper we have the following assumption and notations. G is a abelian group with order m; F is a field containing a primitive mth root of 1;Aut$G$ and Inn$G$ denote the automorphism and inner automorphism group, respectively; 1 denotes the unity element of G; $\widehat{G}$ denotes the set of characters of G, where a character of G is a group homomorphism from G to $F-\{0\}$. C_m denotes a cycle group with order m; $\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the set of all integers; $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of all positive integers; Z_m denotes the ring of integers modulo m; A^B denotes the cartesian product $\prod\limits_{i\in B} A_i$, where $A_i = A$ for any $i \in B$. Since field F contains a primitive $|G|$th root of 1, $\widehat{G}$ has $|G|$ elements, i.e. $|\widehat{G}| = |G|$.

$\varphi(n)$ denotes the Euler function, i.e. $\varphi(n)$ is the number of elements in set $\{x \mid (x,n) = 1, 1 \leq x \leq n\}$. For convenience, we denote $\bar{i} \in Z_m$ by i. Obviously, $\{i \mid (i,m) = 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ is the set of all invertible elements in multiplicative group Z_m, written Z_m^*. It is clear that the number of elements in set Z_m^* is $\varphi(m)$.

Lemma 1.1. *(i) There are* $\frac{n!}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}$ *permutations of type* $1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}$ *in* S_n*.*

(ii) If $n = p_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2}\cdots p_s^{e_s}$ *and* $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_s$ *are mutually different prime numbers with positive integer* e_i *for* $i = 1, 2, \cdots, s$*, then*

$$\varphi(n) = n\left(1-\frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots\left(1-\frac{1}{p_s}\right).$$

In particular, $\varphi(p^{e_i}) = p^{e_i} - p^{e_i - 1}$.

(iii) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *then* $\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = n$.

(iv) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *then* $\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n} \frac{1}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}} = 1.$

Proof. (i) It follows from [10, Exercise 2.7.7].

(ii) and (iii) follow from [13, Theorem 3.3.1, Theorem 3.3.1].

(iv) Considering

$$\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n} \frac{n!}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}} = n!,$$

we complete the proof. □

A group G is said to act on a non-empty set Ω, if there is a map $G \times \Omega \to \Omega$, denoted by $(g, x) \mapsto g \circ x$, such that for all $x \in \Omega$ and $g_1, g_2 \in G$:

$$(g_1 g_2) \circ x = g_1 \circ (g_2 \circ x) \qquad \textit{and} \qquad 1 \circ x = x,$$

where 1 denote the unity element of G.

For each $x \in \Omega$, let

$$G_x := \{g \circ x \mid g \in G\},$$

called the orbit of G on Ω. For each $g \in G$, let

$$F_g := \{x \in \Omega \mid g \circ x = x\},$$

called the fixed point set of g.

Burnside's lemma, sometimes also called Burnside's counting theorem, which is useful to compute the number of orbits.

Theorem 1.2. *(See [10, Theorem 2.9.3.1]) (Burnside's Lemma) Let* G *be a finite group that acts on a finite set* Ω, *then the number* $\mathcal{N}$ *of orbits is given by the following formula:*

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{\mid G \mid} \sum_{g \in G} \mid F_g \mid .$$

Definition 1.3. $(G, \overrightarrow{g}, \overrightarrow{\chi}, J)$ *is called an element system with characters (simply, ESC) if* G *is a group,* J *is a set,* $\overrightarrow{g} = \{g_i\}_{i \in J} \in Z(G)^J$ *and* $\overrightarrow{\chi} = \{\chi_i\}_{i \in J} \in \widehat{G}^J$ *with* $g_i \in Z(G)$ *and* $\chi_i \in \widehat{G}$. $ESC(G, \overrightarrow{g}, \overrightarrow{\chi}, J)$ *and* $ESC(G', \overrightarrow{g'}, \overrightarrow{\chi'}, J')$ *are said to be isomorphic if there exist a group isomorphism* $\phi : G \to G'$ *and a bijective map* $\sigma : J \to J'$ *such that* $\phi(g_i) = g'_{\sigma(i)}$ *and* $\chi'_{\sigma(i)}\phi = \chi_i$ *for any* $i \in J$.

$ESC(G, \overrightarrow{g}, \overrightarrow{\chi}, J)$ can be written as $ESC(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ for convenience.

Given a finite commutative group and a positive integer n, define $\Omega(G,n) := \{(G, \overrightarrow{g}, \overrightarrow{\chi}, J) \mid (G, \overrightarrow{g}, \overrightarrow{\chi}, J)$ is an ESC and $J = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}\}$. Let $\begin{pmatrix} j \\ g_j \\ \chi_j \end{pmatrix}$ denote $ESC(G, g_j, \chi_j; j \in J) \in \Omega(G,n)$ in short. Define the action $\circ$ of group $M :=$Aut$G \times S_n$ on $\Omega(G,n)$ as follows:

$$(\phi, \sigma) \circ \begin{pmatrix} j \\ g_j \\ \chi_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(j) \\ \phi(g_j) \\ \chi_j \phi^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.1}$$

It is clear that it is an action. Indeed,

$$(\phi, \sigma) \circ \left((\phi', \sigma') \circ \begin{pmatrix} j \\ g_j \\ \chi_j \end{pmatrix} \right) = (\phi, \sigma) \circ \begin{pmatrix} \sigma'(j) \\ \phi'(g_j) \\ \chi_j \phi'^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma\sigma'(j) \\ \phi\phi'(g_j) \\ \chi_j \phi'^{-1} \phi^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = (\phi\phi', \sigma\sigma') \circ \begin{pmatrix} j \\ g_j \\ \chi_j \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$(1, 1) \circ \begin{pmatrix} j \\ g_j \\ \chi_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} j \\ g_j \\ \chi_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathcal{N}(G,n)$ denote the number of isomorphic classes in $\Omega(G,r)$. This article is mostly devoted to investigate the formula of $\mathcal{N}(G,n)$. It is clear that each orbit of $\Omega(G,n)$ represents an isomorphic class of ESC's. As a result, $\mathcal{N}(G,n)$ is equal to the number of orbits in $\Omega(G,n)$.

2 Cycle p-group

In this section we give the formula computing the number of isomorphic classes of element systems over finite cycle p-groups.

Let $G = \langle g \rangle$ and $|G| = m = p^e$. It is clear that $\hat{G}$ consists of the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{(l)} : G &\to F \\ g &\mapsto \omega^l, \end{aligned}$$

where ω is a primitive mth root of 1; Aut$G$ consists of the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i : G &\to G \\ g &\mapsto g^i, \end{aligned}$$

where $(i, m) = 1$. That is, $\mathrm{Aut}G \cong Z_m^*$ (See [18, Theorem 2.3.3]). Thus $|\mathrm{Aut}G| = |Z_m^*| = \varphi(m)$.

Since $\chi^{(l_j)}\phi_i^{-1}(g) = \chi^{(l_j)}\phi_{i^{-1}}(g) = \chi^{(l_j)}(g^{i^{-1}}) = \omega^{l_j i^{-1}}$, $\chi^{(l_j)}\phi_i^{-1} = \chi^{(l_j i^{-1})}$. By (1.1), we have

$$(\phi_i, \sigma) \circ \begin{pmatrix} j \\ g^{k_j} \\ \chi^{(l_j)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(j) \\ \phi_i(g^{k_j}) \\ \chi^{(l_j)}\phi_i^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(j) \\ g^{i\cdot k_j} \\ \chi^{(l_j i^{-1})} \end{pmatrix},$$

where i^{-1} is the inverse of i in group Z_m^*.

Definition 2.1. *Assume $(i, p) = 1$ and p is a prime number. Define $\vec{\delta}_e(i) := (\delta_1(i), \delta_2(i), \ldots, \delta_e(i))$, where $\delta_s(i)$ is the order of i in $Z_{p^s}^*$ for $1 \le s \le e$.*

Remark. It is easy to check $\vec{\delta}_e(i) = \vec{\delta}_e(i^{-1})$.

Lemma 2.2. *If p is an odd prime number, then there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$Z_{p^s}^* = \langle \alpha \rangle = \{1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{\varphi(p^s)-1}\}$$

for any positive integer s. That is, α is a common generator of $Z_{p^s}^* (s = 1, 2, \ldots)$.

If $p = 2$, then there exist $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$(for example, $\beta = 5$) such that

$$Z_{p^s}^* = \langle -1 \rangle \times \langle \beta \rangle = \{1, -1\} \times \{1, \beta, \ldots, \beta^{2^{s-2}-1}\}$$

when $s > 2$.

Proof. It follows from [13, Theorem 5.2.3; Theorem 5.2.1]. □

Lemma 2.3. *(i) If $d \mid m$, then the number of elements with order d in cycle group $(Z_m, +)$ is exactly $\varphi(d)$.*

(ii) If p is an odd prime number or $e \le 2$, then $\vec{\delta}_e(i)$ is a case of following for any $i \in Z_m^*$:

$$\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)} = (d, \ldots, \overset{k}{d}, pd, p^2 d, \ldots, p^{e-k} d),\ d|p-1, k = 1, \ldots, e.$$

Conversely, if $d|p-1$ and $1 \le k \le e$, then there exactly exist $\varphi(p^{e-k}d)$ elements in $Z_{p^e}^*$ satisfying $\vec{\delta}_e(i) = \vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)}$.

(iii) If $p = 2$ with $e \ge 3$, then $\vec{\delta}_e(i)$ is a case of the following for any $i \in Z_m^*$:

$$\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)} = (1, d, \ldots, \overset{k}{d}, 2, 2^2, \ldots, 2^{e-k}),\ d = 1 \text{ or } 2, k = 2, \ldots, e.$$

Conversely, if $d = 1$ or 2 and $2 \le k \le e$, then there exactly exist $\varphi(2^{e-k})$ elements in $Z_{2^e}^*$ satisfying $\vec{\delta}_e(i) = \vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)}$.

Remark. In (iii) above, $\vec{\delta}_e^{(e-1,2)} = \vec{\delta}_e^{(e,2)} = (1, 2, \ldots, 2)$, $\vec{\delta}_e^{(e,1)} = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)$.

Proof. (i) Let $A = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d, (i, d) = 1\}$ and $B = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m$, the order of i in $(Z_m, +)$ is $d\}$. Define a map $\phi:\ A \to B$ such that $\phi(i) = i\frac{m}{d}$ for any $i \in A$. It is clear that ϕ is bijective.

(ii) By Lemma2.2, we can assume $i = \alpha^{\nu}$ and $\nu = p^{k-1}c$ with $e \geq k \geq 1$ and $(p, c) = 1$. For any $1 \leq s \leq e$, since the order of α in $Z_{p^s}^*$ is $\varphi(p^s) = p^{s-1}(p-1)$, we have that the order of i in $Z_{p^s}^*$ is

$$\delta_s(i) = \frac{p^{s-1}(p-1)}{(\nu, p^{s-1}(p-1))} = \frac{p^{s-1}(p-1)}{(p^{k-1}c, p^{s-1}(p-1))} = \begin{cases} p^{s-k}\frac{p-1}{(c,p-1)} & \text{when } s \geq k \\ \frac{p-1}{(c,p-1)} & \text{when } s < k. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\frac{p-1}{(c,p-1)} = d$. Obviously $d|p-1$. To complete the proof of (ii), we now show the following conclusion:

If $i, i' \in Z_{p^e}$ and $(ii', p) = 1$, then $\vec{\delta}_e(i) = \vec{\delta}_e(i')$ if and only if i and i' have the same orders in $Z_{p^e}^*$. Indeed, the necessity is obvious. Now we show the sufficiency. By Lemma2.2, we can assume $i = \alpha^{\nu}$ and $i' = \alpha^{\nu'}$ with $\nu = p^{k-1}c$, $\nu' = p^{k'-1}c'$, $(cc', p) = 1$. Since i and i' have the same orders in $Z_{p^e}^*$, $k = k', (c, p-1) = (c', p-1)$ by (2.1). Applying (2.1), we have $\vec{\delta}_e(i) = \vec{\delta}_e(i')$.

Next we come back to show (ii). For any d and k with $d|p-1$ and $1 \leq k \leq e$, Let $i = \alpha^{p^{k-1}\frac{(p-1)}{d}}$. It is easy to check $\vec{\delta}_e(i) = \vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)}$. Considering that there exactly exist $\varphi(p^{e-k}d)$ elements whose orders are $p^{e-k}d$ in $Z_{p^e}^*$, we complete the proof of (ii).

(iii) If $i = \beta^{\nu}$, we can similarly show the first part of (iii). Notice $\delta_1(i) = 1$. If $i = -\beta^{\nu}$ and $\nu = 2^{k-2}c$ with $(c, 2) = 1$ and $e \geq k \geq 2$, then the order of i in $Z_{2^s}^*$ is

$$\delta_s(i) = [2, \frac{2^{s-2}}{(\nu, 2^{s-2})}] = [2, \frac{2^{s-2}}{(2^{k-2}c, 2^{s-2})}] = \begin{cases} 2^{s-k} & \text{when } s > k \\ 2 & \text{when } s \leq k \end{cases}$$

when $s \geq 2$. Obviously, $\delta_1(i) = 1$ and $\vec{\delta}_e(i) = \vec{\delta}_e^{(k,2)}$. It is easy to show the second part of (iii) by meas of the method similar to proof of (ii). $\Box$

Next we compute the fixed point set $F_{(\phi_i,\sigma)}$ of (ϕ_i, σ). Assume $\vec{\delta}_e(i) = \vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)}$ and that the type of $\sigma \in S_n$ is $1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}$. If $\begin{pmatrix} j \\ g^{k_j} \\ \omega^{l_j} \end{pmatrix} \in F_{(\phi_i,\sigma)}$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(j) \\ g^{ik_j} \\ \omega^{i^{-1}l_j} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} j \\ g^{k_j} \\ \omega^{l_j} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(j) \\ g^{k_{\sigma(j)}} \\ \omega^{l_{\sigma(j)}} \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies

$$ik_j \equiv k_{\sigma(j)} (\text{mod } p^e), \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$i^{-1}l_j \equiv l_{\sigma(j)} (\mathrm{mod}\ p^e) \tag{2.3}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

It is clear that (2.2) and (2.3) are independent each other, and they hold if and only if every cycle, such as $\tau = (j_1\, j_2\, \cdots j_r)$, in independent cycle decomposition of σ satisfies the following two formulae:

$$ik_j \equiv k_{\tau(j)} (\mathrm{mod}\ p^e) \tag{2.2$'$}$$

and

$$i^{-1}l_j \equiv l_{\tau(j)} (\mathrm{mod}\ p^e). \tag{2.3$'$}$$

for $j = j_1, \ldots, j_r$.

For (2.2$'$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_{j_2} &\equiv ik_{j_1} \equiv i^1 k_{j_1}, \\ k_{j_3} &\equiv ik_{j_2} \equiv i^2 k_{j_1}, \\ &\cdots \\ k_{j_r} &\equiv ik_{j_{r-1}} \equiv i^{r-1} k_{j_1}, \\ k_{j_1} &\equiv ik_{j_r} \equiv i^r k_{j_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

This implies the numbers of solutions of both (2.2$'$) and (2.5) are the same when we view $k_{j_1}, \ldots, k_{j_r}$ as indeterminate elements. (2.5) is equivalent to

$$(i^r - 1)k_{j_1} \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\ p^e). \tag{2.5$'$}$$

Now we give the main result.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that G is a cycle group with order p^e and positive integer e.*
(i) If p is an odd prime number or $e \leq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(G,n) &= \frac{1}{p^{e-1}(p-1)} \sum_{d|p-1} \varphi(d) \sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n} \frac{p^{2f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(e,d)})}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{e-1} p^{-k} \sum_{d|p-1} \varphi(d) \sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n} \frac{p^{2f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 1 + \frac{1}{p^{e-1}(p-1)} \sum_{\substack{d|p-1\\ d\leq n}} \varphi(d) \left(\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n} \frac{p^{2e\sum_{t=1}^{[n/d]}\lambda_{td}}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}} - 1 \right) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{e-1} p^{-k} \sum_{\substack{d|p-1\\ d\leq n}} \varphi(d) \left(\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n} \frac{p^{2f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}} - 1 \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)}) = \sum_{s=0}^{e-k-1}(k+s)\sum_{\substack{1\le t\le[n/(p^s d)]\\(t,p)=1}}\lambda_{tp^s d} + e\sum_{t=1}^{[n/p^{e-k}d]}\lambda_{tp^{e-k}d}\ . \tag{2.8}$$

(ii) If $p=2$ *and* $e\ge 3$*, then*

$$\mathcal{N}(G,n) = \sum_{d=1}^{2}\sum_{k=2}^{e}\frac{\varphi(2^{e-k})}{2^{e-1}}\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{4^{f_2(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}} \tag{2.9}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{e-1}}\sum_{\lambda_1+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{4^{e\sum_{t=1}^{n}\lambda_t}+4^{\sum_{(t,2)=1}\lambda_t+e\sum_{t=1}^{[n/2]}\lambda_{2t}}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}$$

$$+ \sum_{d=1}^{2}\sum_{k=2}^{e-1}2^{-k}\sum_{\lambda_1+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{4^{f_2(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}, \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$f_2(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,1)}) = \sum_{s=0}^{e-k-1}(k+s)\sum_{\substack{1\le t\le[n/(2^s)]\\(t,2)=1}}\lambda_{t2^s} + e\sum_{t=1}^{[n/2^{e-k}]}\lambda_{t2^{e-k}}\quad (2\le k\le e), \tag{2.11}$$

$$f_2(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,2)}) = \sum_{(t,2)=1}\lambda_t + \sum_{s=1}^{e-k-1}(k+s)\sum_{\substack{1\le t\le[n/(2^s)]\\(t,2)=1}}\lambda_{t2^s}$$

$$+e\sum_{t=1}^{[n/2^{e-k}]}\lambda_{t2^{e-k}}\quad (2\le k\le e-1) \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$f_2(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(e,2)}) = \sum_{(t,2)=1}\lambda_t + e\sum_{t=1}^{[n/2]}\lambda_{2t}. \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. (i) For $(\phi_i,\sigma)\in M$, $i\in Z_{p^e}^*$, by Lemma2.2, there exists a positive integer k such that $i=\alpha^\nu$, $\nu=p^{k-1}c$, $(c,p)=1$ and $1\le k\le e$. By Lemma2.3, there exists positive integer d such that $\vec{\delta}_e(i)=\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)}$ and $d\mid(p-1)$. First we compute the number $|F_{\phi_i,\sigma}|$ of fixed point set.

(1°) If $d\nmid r$, then $\delta_1(i)\nmid r$ and $p\nmid(i^r-1)$, i.e. $(i^r-1,p^e)=1$. By (2.5′), $k_{j_1}=0$.

(2°) If $p^s d|r$ and $p^{s+1}d\nmid r$ ($0\le s\le e-k-1$), then $r=tp^s d$, where $(t,p)=1$. By Lemma2.3, the order of i is $p^s d$ in $Z_{p^{k+s}}^*$. Consequently, $i^r-1=cp^{k+s}$. If $p\mid c$, i.e.

$c = c'p$, then $i^r - 1 = c'p^{k+s+1}$. Since the order of i is $p^{s+1}d$ in $Z^*_{p^{k+s+1}}$, $p^{s+1}d \mid r$. This is a contradiction. Thus $(c, p) = 1$. This implies that the number of solutions of $(2.5')$ is p^{k+s}.

(3°) If $p^{e-k}d|r$, then the number of solutions of $(2.5')$ is p^e.

Obviously, equations $(2.5')$ about all cycles in independent cycle decomposition of σ are independent each other. Notice that there exactly exist $\sum\limits_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq [n/(p^s d)] \\ (t,p)=1}} \lambda_{tp^s d}$ cycles, which satisfy (2°) with the length r, in independent cycle decomposition of σ; there exactly exist $\sum\limits_{t=1}^{[n/(p^{e-k}d)]} \lambda_{tp^{e-k}d}$ cycles, which satisfy (3°) with the length r, in independent cycle decomposition of σ; Consequently, there exactly exist

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\prod_{s=0}^{e-k-1} p^{(k+s)\sum\limits_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq [n/(p^s d)] \\ (t,p)=1}} \lambda_{tp^s d}}\right) p^{e\sum\limits_{t=1}^{[n/p^{e-k}d]} \lambda_{tp^{e-k}d}} &= p^{\sum\limits_{s=0}^{e-k-1}(k+s)\sum\limits_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq [n/(p^s d)] \\ (t,p)=1}} \lambda_{tp^s d} + e\sum\limits_{t=1}^{[n/p^{e-k}d]} \lambda_{tp^{e-k}d}} \\ &= p^{f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}\end{aligned}$$

distinct $(k_j)_{j\in J}$ satisfying (2.2).

Obviously,

$$f_p(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \vec{\delta}_e^{(e,d)}) = e\sum_{t=1}^{[n/d]} \lambda_{td}. \tag{2.14}$$

If $d > n$, then

$$f_p(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)}) = 0 \ . \tag{2.15}$$

Similarly, there exactly exist

$$p^{f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}$$

distinct $(l_j)_{j\in J}$ satisfying (2.3).

Considering the independence between (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$|F_{(\phi_i,\sigma)}| = \left(p^{f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}\right)^2 = p^{2f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}. \tag{2.16}$$

By Lemma1.1 and Lemma2.3, there exist $\varphi(p^{e-k}d)\ \frac{n!}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!\cdots\lambda_n! 1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}$ elements, whose the number of elements in fixed point set is $p^{2f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}$, in M.

See

$$\mathcal{N}(G, n) \ = \ \frac{1}{|M|}\sum_{(\varphi_i,\sigma)\in M} |F_{(\varphi_i,\sigma)}|$$

$$= \ \frac{1}{n!|\mathrm{Aut}G|}\sum_{k=1}^{e}\sum_{d|p-1}\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n} \frac{\varphi(p^{e-k}d)n!}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n! 1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}} p^{2f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{p^{e-1}(p-1)}\left(\sum_{d\mid p-1}\varphi(d)\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{p^{2f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(e,d)})}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\sum_{k=1}^{e-1}p^{e-k-1}(p-1)\sum_{d\mid p-1}\varphi(d)\sum_{\lambda_1+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{p^{2f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{p^{e-1}(p-1)}\sum_{d\mid p-1}\varphi(d)\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{p^{2f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(e,d)})}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}\text{(written as (I))}\\
&\qquad+\sum_{k=1}^{e-1}p^{-k}\sum_{d\mid p-1}\varphi(d)\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{p^{2f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}\text{(written as (II))}.
\end{aligned}$$

We now compute (I) and (II), respectively. Applying Lemma1.1, (2.14) and (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(I)} &= \frac{1}{p^{e-1}(p-1)}\left(\sum_{\substack{d\mid p-1\\ d\le n}}\varphi(d)\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{p^{2e\sum_{t=1}^{[n/d]}\lambda_{td}}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\sum_{\substack{d\mid p-1\\ d>n}}\varphi(d)\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{1}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{p^{e-1}(p-1)}\left(\sum_{\substack{d\mid p-1\\ d\le n}}\varphi(d)\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{p^{2e\sum_{t=1}^{[n/d]}\lambda_{td}}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}+\sum_{\substack{d\mid p-1\\ d>n}}\varphi(d)\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{p^{e-1}(p-1)}\left(\sum_{\substack{d\mid p-1\\ d\le n}}\varphi(d)\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{p^{2e\sum_{t=1}^{[n/d]}\lambda_{td}}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}+p-1-\sum_{\substack{d\mid p-1\\ d\le n}}\varphi(d)\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{p^{e-1}(p-1)}\sum_{\substack{d\mid p-1\\ d\le n}}\left(\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\varphi(d)\frac{p^{2e\sum_{t=1}^{[n/d]}\lambda_{td}}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}-1\right)+\frac{1}{p^{e-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{II}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{e-1} p^{-k}\left(\sum_{\substack{d\mid p-1\\ d\le n}}\varphi(d)\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{p^{2f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\sum_{\substack{d\mid p-1\\ d>n}}\varphi(d)\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{1}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}\right)\\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{e-1} p^{-k}\left(\sum_{\substack{d\mid p-1\\ d\le n}}\varphi(d)\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{p^{2f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}+p-1-\sum_{\substack{d\mid p-1\\ d\le n}}\varphi(d)\right)\\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{e-1} p^{-k}\sum_{\substack{d\mid p-1\\ d\le n}}\varphi(d)\left(\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{p^{2f_p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}-1\right)+1-\frac{1}{p^{e-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{N}(G,n)=\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{II}$, (2.12) holds.

(ii) We first show

$$|F_{(\phi_i,\sigma)}|=\left(2^{f_2(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}\right)^2=2^{2f_2(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}. \tag{2.17}$$

Case 1: $i=\beta^\nu$ and $\nu=2^{k-2}c$ with $(c,p)=1$ and $k>1$. By Lemma2.3, $d=1$ and $\vec{\delta}_e(i)=\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,1)}$.

(1°) If $2^s\mid r$ and $2^{s+1}\nmid r$ with $0\le s\le e-k-1$), then the number of solutions of (2.5′) is 2^{k+s} by means of the method similar to proof of (i).

(2°) If $2^{e-k}|r$, then the number of solutions of (2.5′) is 2^e.

There exists $\sum\limits_{\substack{1\le t\le[n/(2^s)]\\(t,2)=1}}\lambda_{t2^s}$ cycles, which satisfy (1°) with the length r, in independent cycle decomposition of σ; there exist $\sum\limits_{t=1}^{[n/2^{e-k}]}\lambda_{t2^{e-k}}$ cycles, which satisfy (2°) with the length r, in independent cycle decomposition of σ. This implies (2.17).

Remark. It is clear

$$f_2(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(e,1)})=e\sum_{t=1}^{n}\lambda_t. \tag{2.18}$$

Case 2: $i=-\beta^\nu$ and $\nu=2^{k-2}c$ with $(c,p)=1$ and $e\ge k>1$. By Lemma2.3, $d=2$ and $\vec{\delta}_e(i)=\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,2)}$.

(1°) If $2\nmid r$, i.e. r is odd. It is clear $i^r-1=2c$. Since $\delta_4(i)=2$, c is odd. By (2.5′), $k_{j_1}=c'2^{e-1}$. Thus the number of solutions of (2.5′) is 2.

(2°) If $2^s|r$ and $2^{s+1}\nmid r$ $(1\le s\le e-k-1)$, then $r=t2^s$ and $(t,2)=1$; $i^r-1=c2^{s+k}$ with $(c,2)=1$; $k_{j_1}=c'2^{e-s-k}$. Thus the number of solutions of (2.5′) is 2^{s+k}.

(3°) If $2^{e-k}|r$, then the number of solutions of (2.5′) is 2^e.

There exist $\sum_{(t,2)=1} \lambda_t$ cycles, which satisfy (1°) with the length r, in independent cycle decomposition of σ; there exist $\sum\limits_{\substack{1\le t\le [n/(2^s)]\\(t,2)=1}} \lambda_{t2^s}$ cycles, which satisfy (2°) with the length r, in independent cycle decomposition of σ; there exist $\sum\limits_{t=1}^{[n/2^{e-k}]} \lambda_{t2^{e-k}}$ cycles, which satisfy (3°) with the length r, in independent cycle decomposition of σ. This implies (2.17).

Now we show (ii). Applying Lemma2.2(ii), Lemma2.3 and Burnside's Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}(G,n) &= \frac{1}{|M|}\sum_{(\varphi_i,\sigma)\in M} |F_{(\varphi_i,\sigma)}| \\
&= \frac{1}{n!|\mathrm{Aut}G|}\left(\sum_{d=1}^{2}\sum_{k=2}^{e}\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n} \varphi(2^{e-k})\frac{n!}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}4^{f_2(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}\right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
= \frac{1}{2^{e-1}}&\left(\sum_{d=1}^{2}\sum_{k=2}^{e-1}2^{e-k-1}\sum_{\lambda_1+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{4^{f_2(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}\right. \\
&\left.+\sum_{\lambda_1+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{4^{e\sum\limits_{t=1}^{n}\lambda_t}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}+\sum_{\lambda_1+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{4^{\sum\limits_{(t,2)=1}\lambda_t+e\sum\limits_{t=1}^{[n/2]}\lambda_{2t}}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
=\ &\sum_{d=1}^{2}\sum_{k=2}^{e-1}2^{-k}\sum_{\lambda_1+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{4^{f_2(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,d)})}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}} \\
&+\frac{1}{2^{e-1}}\sum_{\lambda_1+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\frac{4^{e\sum\limits_{t=1}^{n}\lambda_t}+4^{\sum\limits_{(t,2)=1}\lambda_t+e\sum\limits_{t=1}^{[n/2]}\lambda_{2t}}}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}. \qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.5. *Let $G \cong C_{p^e}$ and e be a positive integer.*

(i) If p is an odd prime number or $e \le 2$, then

$$\mathcal{N}(G,1) = p^e + 2(p^{e-1}+p^{e-2}+\cdots+p+1);$$

(ii) If $p = 2$ and $e \ge 3$, then

$$\mathcal{N}(G,1) = 2^{e+1}+2^e-2.$$

Proof. (i) Notice $f(\lambda_1,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,1)}) = k(1 \le k \le e-1)$ and $f(\lambda_1,\vec{\delta}_e^{(e,1)}) = e$ when $n = 1$.

By (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}(G,1) &= 1+\frac{1}{p^{e-1}(p-1)}\left(p^{2e}-1\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{e-1}p^{-k}\left(p^{2k}-1\right)\\ &= 1+\frac{1}{p^{e-1}(p-1)}\left(p^{2e}-1\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{e-1}p^{k}-\sum_{k=1}^{e-1}p^{-k}\\ &= p^e+2(p^{e-1}+p^{e-2}+\cdots+p+1).\end{aligned}$$

(ii) By (2.11) and (2.12), $f(\lambda_1,\vec{\delta}_2^{(k,1)})=k(2\le k\le e-1)$ and $f(\lambda_1,\vec{\delta}_2^{(k,2)})=1(2\le k\le e-1)$ when $n=1$. Applying (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}(G,1) &= \frac{1}{2^{e-1}}(4^e+4)+\sum_{k=2}^{e-1}(2^{-k}4^k)+\sum_{k=2}^{e-1}(2^{-k}4)\\ &= 2^{e+1}+2^e-2.\square\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.6. *Assume that* $G=C_{p^e}$ *and* p *is a prime number with positive integer* e.

(i) If p *is an odd prime number, then*

$$\mathcal{N}(G,2)=1+\frac{1}{2}\frac{p^{3e}-p^3}{p^3-1}+\frac{1}{p-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}p^{3e+1}+p^{e+1}+p^e-p-\frac{3}{2}\right);$$

(ii) $\mathcal{N}(C_4,2)=76,\ \mathcal{N}(C_2,2)=10$*;*

(iii) If $p=2$ *and* $e\ge 3$*, then*

$$\mathcal{N}(G,2)=\frac{15}{14}2^{3e}+3\times 2^{e+1}-\frac{116}{7}.$$

Proof. It is clear that $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=(0,1)$ or (2,0) when $n=2$.

(i) If p is an odd prime number, by (2.8), we have $d=1,2$, and $f_p(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,1)}) = k(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)$ and $f_p(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\vec{\delta}_e^{(k,2)})=k\lambda_2(1\le k\le e)$. Using (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}(G,2) &= 1+\frac{1}{p^{e-1}(p-1)}\left(\sum_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\frac{p^{2e(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}}-1+\sum_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\frac{p^{2e\lambda_2}}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}}-1\right)+\\ &\quad \sum_{k=1}^{e-1}p^{-k}\left(\sum_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\frac{p^{2k(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}}-1+\sum_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\frac{p^{2k\lambda_2}}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}}-1\right)\\ &= 1+\frac{1}{p^{e-1}(p-1)}\left(\frac{1}{2}p^{2e}+\frac{1}{2}p^{4e}-1+\frac{1}{2}p^{2e}+\frac{1}{2}-1\right)+\\ &\quad \sum_{k=1}^{e-1}p^{-k}\left(\frac{1}{2}p^{2k}+\frac{1}{2}p^{4k}-1+\frac{1}{2}p^{2k}+\frac{1}{2}-1\right)\\ &= 1+\frac{1}{2}\frac{p^{3e}-p^3}{p^3-1}+\frac{1}{p-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}p^{3e+1}+p^{e+1}+p^e-p-\frac{3}{2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $p = 2$ and $e = 2$, then $d = 1$ and $k = 1$. By (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}(C_4, 2) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \frac{4^{e(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \frac{4^{(\lambda_1+2\lambda_2)}}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}} - 1\right)\\ &= 76.\end{aligned}$$

If $p = 2$ and $e = 1$, then $d = 1$. By (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}(C_2, 2) &= 1 + \left(\sum_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \frac{4^{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}} - 1\right)\\ &= 10.\end{aligned}$$

(iii) It follows from (2.12) and (2.13) that $f_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \vec{\delta}_e^{(k,1)}) = k\lambda_1 + (k+1)\lambda_2$ and $f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \vec{\delta}_e^{(k,2)}) = \lambda_1 + (k+1)\lambda_2 (2 \le k \le e-1)$. Applying (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}(G, 2) &= \frac{1}{2^{e-1}} \sum_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \frac{4^{e(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)} + 4^{\lambda_1+e\lambda_2}}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}} + \sum_{k=2}^{e-1} 2^{-k} \sum_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \frac{4^{k\lambda_1+(k+1)\lambda_2}}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}}\\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{e-1} 2^{-k} \sum_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \frac{4^{\lambda_1+k\lambda_2}}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}}\\ &= \frac{1}{2^{e-1}}\left(\frac{4^{2e}+4^2}{2} + \frac{4^e+4^e}{2}\right) + \sum_{k=2}^{e-1} 2^{-k}\left(\frac{4^{2k}+4^{k+1}}{2}\right)\\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{e-1} 2^{-k}\left(\frac{4^2+4^{k+1}}{2}\right)\\ &= \frac{15}{14}2^{3e} + 3 \times 2^{e+1} - \frac{116}{7}. \square\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.7. *If $G \cong C_p$ and p is a prime number, then*

$$\mathcal{N}(G, n) = 1 + \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\substack{d \mid p-1\\ d \le n}} \varphi(d) \left(\sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n} \frac{p^{2\sum_{t=1}^{[n/d]} \lambda_{td}}}{\lambda_1! \cdots \lambda_n! 1^{\lambda_1} \cdots n^{\lambda_n}} - 1 \right).$$

Proof. If follows from (2.7). □

3 Finite Cycle Groups

In this section we give the formula computing the number of isomorphic classes of element systems over finite cycle groups.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume* $G = C_m$ *and* $m = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_s^{e_s}$*, where* $p_1, \ldots, p_s$ *are mutually different prime numbers, then* $G = G_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_s$ *with* $G_i \cong C_{m_i}$ *and* $m_i = p_i^{e_i}$*. Furthermore,*

(i) $AutG \cong AutG_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus AutG_s$*;*

(ii) There exists a bijective map $\psi : \widehat{G} \to \widehat{G_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \widehat{G_s}$*.*

Proof. (i) It follows from [18, Theorem 1.11.10].

(ii) Define map ψ: $\widehat{G} \to \widehat{G_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \widehat{G_s}$ by sending $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ to

$$\psi(\chi) = (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_s),$$

where χ_i is the restriction of χ on G_i. It is clear that ψ is injective.

Conversely, for any $(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_s) \in \widehat{G_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \widehat{G_s}$, Define $\chi \in \hat{G}$ such that $\chi(g) = \chi_1(g_1) \cdots \chi_s(g_s)$, for any $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_s) \in G, g_i \in G_i$. Thus ψ is surjective. □

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that finite cycle group* $G \cong C_{p_1^{e_1}} \oplus C_{p_2^{e_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{p_s^{e_s}}$ *and* p_i *is a prime number with positive integer* e_i *and* $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_s$*.*

(i) If all of $p_1, \cdots, p_s$ *are odd prime numbers or* $p_1 = 2$ *with* $e_1 \leq 2$*, then*

$$\mathcal{N}(G, n) = \sum_{\lambda_1 + \cdots + n\lambda_n = n} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1! \cdots \lambda_n! 1^{\lambda_1} \cdots n^{\lambda_n}} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\sum_{k_i=1}^{e_i} \sum_{d_i | p_i - 1} \varphi(p_i^{e_i - k_i} d_i) p_i^{2 f_{p_i}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \vec{\delta}_{e_i}^{(k_i, d_i)})}}{p_i^{e_i - 1}(p_i - 1)} \right). \tag{3.1}$$

(ii) If $p_1 = 2$ *and* $e_1 \geq 3$*, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(G, n) \;\; = \;\; & \sum_{\lambda_1 + \cdots + n\lambda_n = n} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1! \cdots \lambda_n! 1^{\lambda_1} \cdots n^{\lambda_n}} \frac{\sum_{k_1=2}^{e_1} \sum_{d_1=1}^{2} \varphi(2^{e_1 - k_1}) 4^{f_2(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \vec{\delta}_{e_1}^{(k_1, d_1)})}}{2^{e_1 - 1}} \right. \\ & \left. \prod_{i=2}^{s} \frac{\sum_{k_i=1}^{e_i} \sum_{d_i | p_i - 1} \varphi(p_i^{e_i - k_i} d_i) p_i^{2 f_{p_i}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \vec{\delta}_{e_i}^{(k_i, d_i)})}}{p_i^{e_i - 1}(p_i - 1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Given $(\phi, \sigma) \in M$, we first compute $|F_{(\phi,\sigma)}|$. According to Lemma3.1, we can assume $\phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_s)$ with $\phi_i \in \mathrm{Aut}G_i$. It is clear

$$\phi(g) = (\phi(g_1), \ldots, \phi(g_s)) \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\chi\phi^{-1} = (\chi_1\phi_1^{-1}, \ldots, \chi_s\phi_s^{-1}) \tag{3.3}$$

for any $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_s) \in G$, $\chi = (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_s) \in \widehat{G}$.

If $\begin{pmatrix} j \\ g_j \\ \chi_j \end{pmatrix} \in F_{(\phi,\sigma)}$, applying (1.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi,\sigma)\circ\begin{pmatrix} j \\ g_j \\ \chi_j \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(j) \\ \phi(g_j) \\ \chi_j\phi^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(j) \\ (\phi(g_{j1}), \ldots, \phi(g_{js})) \\ (\chi_{j1}\phi_1^{-1}, \ldots, \chi_{js}\phi_s^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} j \\ (g_{j1}, \ldots, g_{js}) \\ (\chi_{j1}, \ldots, \chi_{js}) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

where $g_j = (g_{j1}, \ldots, g_{js}), \chi_j = (\chi_{j1}, \ldots, \chi_{js})$. (3.4) is equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(j) \\ \phi_i(g_{ji}) \\ (\chi_{ji}\phi_i^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} j \\ g_{ji} \\ \chi_{ji} \end{pmatrix}, \ 1 \le i \le s.$$

This implies $\begin{pmatrix} j \\ g_{ji} \\ \chi_{ji} \end{pmatrix} \in F_{(\phi_i,\sigma)}$, where $F_{(\phi_i,\sigma)}$ denotes the fixed point set of (ϕ_i, σ) in $\Omega(G_i, n)$. Consequently,

$$|F_{(\phi,\sigma)}| = \prod_{j=1}^{s} |F_{(\phi_i,\sigma)}|. \qquad (3.5)$$

(i) By (2.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}(G,n) &= \frac{1}{|M|}\sum_{(\phi,\sigma)\in M} |F_{(\phi,\sigma)}| \\
&= \frac{1}{n!|\mathrm{Aut}G|}\sum_{\lambda_1+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\sum_{k_1=1}^{e_1}\sum_{d_1|p_1-1}\cdots\sum_{k_s=1}^{e_s}\sum_{d_s|p_s-1}\Bigg(\frac{n!}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}} \\
&\quad \prod_{i=1}^{s}\varphi(p_i^{e_i-k_i}d_i)p_i^{2f_{p_i}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_{e_i}^{(k_i,d_i)})}\Bigg) \\
&= \sum_{\lambda_1+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}}\prod_{i=1}^{s}\frac{\sum_{k_i=1}^{e_i}\sum_{d_i|p_i-1}\varphi(p_i^{e_i-k_i}d_i)p_i^{2f_{p_i}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_{e_i}^{(k_i,d_i)})}}{p_i^{e_i-1}(p_i-1)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) By (2.16) and 2.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}(G,n) &= \frac{1}{n!|\mathrm{Aut}G|}\sum_{\lambda_1+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n}\sum_{k_1=2}^{e_1}\sum_{d_1=1}^{2}\sum_{k_2=1}^{e_1}\sum_{d_2|p_2-1}\cdots\sum_{k_s=1}^{e_s}\sum_{d_s|p_s-1}\Bigg(\frac{n!}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}} \\ &\qquad \varphi(2^{e_1-k_1})p_1^{2f_{p_1}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_{e_i}^{(k_1,d_1)})}\prod_{i=2}^{s}\varphi(p_i^{e_i-k_i}d_i)p_i^{2f_{p_i}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\vec{\delta}_{e_i}^{(k_i,d_i)})}\Bigg). \ \square\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.3. *If $G \cong C_{p_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{p_s}$ and $p_1,\ldots,p_s$ are mutually different prime numbers, then*

$$\mathcal{N}(G,1) = \prod_{i=1}^{s}(p_i+2).$$

Proof. Obviously, $k_i = e_i = 1$, $f_{p_i}(\lambda_1,\vec{\delta}_{e_i}^{(1,1)}) = 1$ and $f_{p_i}(\lambda_1,\vec{\delta}_{e_i}^{(1,d_i)}) = 0 (d_i > 1)$. Using (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}(G,1) &= \prod_{i=1}^{s}\frac{\sum\limits_{d_i|p_i-1}\varphi(d_i)p_i^{2f_{p_i}(\lambda_1,,\vec{\delta}_{e_i}^{(1,d_i)})}}{p_i-1} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{s}\frac{p_i^2+\sum\limits_{\substack{d_i|p_i-1\\ d_i>1}}\varphi(d_i)}{p_i-1} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{s}\frac{p_i^2+p_i-2}{p_i-1} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{s}(p_i+2).\square\end{aligned}$$

4 Primary Commutative Groups

In this section we give the formula computing the number of isomorphic classes of element systems of primary commutative groups.

If $G \cong \overbrace{C_p \times \cdots \times C_p}^{s}$ and p is a prime number, then G is called a primary commutative group.

Lemma 4.1. *(See [18, Theorem 5.4.2]) If G is a primary commutative group with order p^s and positive integer s, then*

(i) $AutG \cong GL(s, Z_p)$;

(ii) $|AutG|=p^{\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)}\prod\limits_{i=1}^{s}(p^i-1)$.

Here $GL(s, Z_p)$ is a general linear group over field Z_p. Indeed, $GL(s, Z_p)$ also can be viewed as $s \times s$-matrix ring over field Z_p.

Let $g_1, \cdots, g_s$ be a basis of G, i.e. $G \cong \langle g_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle g_s \rangle$ with $o(g_i) = p$, where $o(g_i)$ denotes the order of g_i. For any $g \in G$, $g = g_1^{k_1} \cdots g_s^{k_s}$, let $\vec{k} := \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ \vdots \\ k_s \end{pmatrix}$ *denote* g.

By Lemma4.1,for any $\phi \in$Aut$G$, there exists a matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{s \times s} \in GL(s, Z_p)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : G &\to G \\ \vec{k} &\mapsto A\vec{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Let A denote ϕ.

$\hat{G}$ consists of the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi : G &\to F \\ g_i &\mapsto \omega^{l_i} \qquad i = 1, 2, \cdots, s;\ 0 \le l_i \le p-1. \end{aligned}$$

Here ω is a primitive pth root of 1. Let $\vec{l} = (l_1, \cdots, l_s)^T$ denote the character χ above, where T denotes transposition.

Next we compute the fixed point set $F_{(A,\sigma)}$ of (A, σ). If $\begin{pmatrix} j \\ \vec{k}_j \\ \vec{l}_j \end{pmatrix} \in F_{(A,\sigma)}$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(j) \\ A\vec{k}_j \\ (A^{-1})^{\mathrm{T}}\vec{l}_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} j \\ \vec{k}_j \\ \vec{l}_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(j) \\ \vec{k}_{\sigma(j)} \\ \vec{l}_{\sigma(j)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

That is,

$$A\vec{k}_j = \vec{k}_{\sigma(j)} \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$(A^{-1})^{\mathrm{T}}\vec{l}_j = \vec{l}_{\sigma(j)}, \qquad j = 1, \cdots, n, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\vec{k_j}, \vec{l_j} \in (Z_p)^s$.

Assume that $\tau = (j_1, \cdots, j_r)$ is a cycle in the independent cycle decomposition of σ with the length r. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{k}_{j_2} &= A\vec{k}_{j_1}, & \vec{l}_{j_2} &= B\vec{l}_{j_1}, \\ &\cdots & &\cdots \\ \vec{k}_{j_r} &= A^{r-1}\vec{k}_{j_1}, & \vec{l}_{j_r} &= B^{r-1}\vec{l}_{j_1}, \\ \vec{k}_{j_1} &= A^{r}\vec{k}_{j_1}, & \vec{l}_{j_1} &= B^{r}\vec{l}_{j_1}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $B = (A^{-1})^{\mathrm{T}}$. Thus we only need choice $\vec{k}_{j_1}$ and $\vec{l}_{j_1}$ such that $\vec{k}_{j_1}$ and $\vec{l}_{j_1}$ become the solutions of $(A^r - I)X = 0$ and $(B^r - I)X = 0$, respectively. Applying (4.3), we compute $\vec{k}_{j_2}, \cdots, \vec{k}_{j_r}, \vec{l}_{j_2}, \cdots, \vec{l}_{j_r}$. This implies that we find a solution $\vec{k}_j$ ' s , $\vec{l}_j$'s of (4.1) for $j = j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_r$. Conversely, it is true, too.

Considering

$$\begin{aligned} B^r - I &= ((A^r)^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1} - I \\ &= ((A^r)^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1} - ((A^r)^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1}((A^r)^{\mathrm{T}} \\ &= ((A^r)^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1}(I - A^r)^{\mathrm{T}}, \end{aligned}$$

we have rank$(A^r - I)$ =rank$(B^r - I)$, i.e. the numbers of solutions of of $(A^r - I)X = 0$ and $(B^r - I)X = 0$ in $(Z_p)^s$ are the same, written as $\nu_{A,r}$. Thus

$$\nu_{A,r} = p^{s - rank(A^r - I)}. \tag{4.4}$$

Assume the type of σ is $1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \cdots n^{\lambda_n}$. It is clear

$$|F_{(A,\sigma)}| = \prod_{r=1}^{n} \nu_{A,r}^{2\lambda_r}. \tag{4.5}$$

Theorem 4.2. *If G is a primary commutative group with order p^s, then*

$$\mathcal{N}(G,n) = \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)} \prod\limits_{i=1}^{s}(p^i - 1)} \sum_{A \in GL(s,p)} \sum_{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \cdots + n\lambda_n = n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p^{2(s - rank(A^i - I))\lambda_i}}{\lambda_i! i^{\lambda_i}}.$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 4.1 and (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(G,n) &= \frac{\sum\limits_{(A,\sigma)\in M} |F_{(A,\sigma)}|}{|M|} \\ &= \frac{\sum\limits_{A \in GL(s,p)} \sum\limits_{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \cdots + n\lambda_n = n} \frac{n!}{\lambda_1! \cdots \lambda_n! 1^{\lambda_1} \cdots n^{\lambda_n}} \prod\limits_{r=1}^{n} \nu_{A,r}^{2\lambda_r}}{p^{\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)} \prod\limits_{i=1}^{s}(p^i - 1) n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)} \prod\limits_{i=1}^{s}(p^i - 1)} \sum_{A \in GL(s,p)} \sum_{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \cdots + n\lambda_n = n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\nu_{A,i}^{2\lambda_i}}{\lambda_i! i^{\lambda_i}}. \square \end{aligned}$$

5 Finite Commutative Groups

In this section we give the formula computing the number of isomorphic classes of element systems over finite commutative groups.

Let G be an additive group with order m and $h_1, \cdots, h_s$ be a basis of G, i.e. $G =< h_1 > \times < h_2 > \times \cdots \times < h_s >$, where the order of h_i is $m_i = p_i^{e_i}$ and p_i is a prime number with positive integer e_i.

Let $M_{s\times s}(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the set of all $s \times s$- matrices over $\mathbb{Z}$. For any $\phi \in$Aut$G$, there exists $A = (a_{ij})_{s\times s} \in M_{s\times s}(\mathbb{Z})$, such that $\phi(h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_s) = (h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_s)A$. Similarly, there exists $B = (b_{ij})_{s\times s} \in M_{s\times s}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\phi^{-1}(h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_s) = (h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_s)B^T$.

Let ω be a primitive mth root of 1. For $\vec{k} \in \begin{pmatrix} Z_{m_1} \\ \vdots \\ Z_{m_s} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\vec{l} \in \begin{pmatrix} Z_m \\ \vdots \\ Z_m \end{pmatrix}$, define $g_{\vec{k}} := h_1k_1 + \cdots + h_sk_s \in G$ and $\chi_{\vec{l}} \in \hat{G}$ such that $\chi_{\vec{l}}(h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_s) := (\omega^{l_1}, \omega^{l_2}, \cdots, \omega^{l_s})$.

Now we compute the fixed point set $F_{(\phi,\sigma)}$ of (ϕ, σ). If $\begin{pmatrix} j \\ g_{\vec{k}_j} \\ \chi_{\vec{l}_j} \end{pmatrix} \in F_{(\phi,\sigma)}$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(j) \\ \phi(g_{\vec{k}_j}) \\ \chi_{\vec{l}_j}\phi^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} j \\ g_{\vec{k}_j} \\ \chi_{\vec{l}_j} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(j) \\ g_{\vec{k}_{\sigma(j)}} \\ \chi_{\vec{l}_{\sigma(j)}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$A\vec{k}_j = \vec{k}_{\sigma(j)} \quad \text{and} \quad B\vec{l}_j = \vec{l}_{\sigma(j)}, \qquad j = 1, \cdots, n. \tag{5.1}$$

Assume that $\tau = (j_1, \cdots, j_r)$ is a cycle in independent cycle decomposition of σ with length r. We have

$$\begin{array}{llll} \vec{k}_{j_2} & = A\vec{k}_{j_1}, & \vec{l}_{j_2} & = B\vec{l}_{j_1}, \\ & \cdots & & \cdots \\ \vec{k}_{j_r} & = A^{r-1}\vec{k}_{j_1}, & \vec{l}_{j_r} & = B^{r-1}\vec{l}_{j_1}, \\ \vec{k}_{j_1} & = A^r\vec{k}_{j_1}, & \vec{l}_{j_1} & = B^r\vec{l}_{j_1}. \end{array} \tag{5.2}$$

Thus we only need choice $\vec{k}_{j_1}$ and $\vec{l}_{j_1}$ such that $\vec{k}_{j_1}$ and $\vec{l}_{j_1}$ become the solutions of

$$(A^r - I)X = 0 \tag{5.3}$$

and

$$(B^r - I)X = 0, \tag{5.4}$$

respectively. According to (5.2), we compute $\vec{k}_{j_2}, \cdots, \vec{k}_{j_r}$ and $\vec{l}_{j_2}, \cdots, \vec{l}_{j_r}$. That is, we get $\vec{k}_j$' s and $\vec{l}_j$' s such that (5.1) holds. Conversely it is true, too.

Let $\nu_{\phi,r}$ and $\mu_{\phi,r}$ denote the numbers of solutions of (5.3) and (5.4) in $\begin{pmatrix} Z_{m_1} \\ \vdots \\ Z_{m_s} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} Z_m \\ \vdots \\ Z_m \end{pmatrix}$, respectively. If the type of σ is $1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}$, then

$$|F_{(A,\sigma)}| = \prod_{r=1}^{n} (\nu_{\phi,r}\mu_{\phi,r})^{\lambda_r}. \tag{5.5}$$

Theorem 5.1. *If G is a commutative group with order m, then*

$$\mathcal{N}(G,n) = \frac{1}{|\,Aut(G)\,|} \sum_{\phi\in Aut(G)} \sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(\nu_{\phi,r}\mu_{\phi,r})^{\lambda_i}}{\lambda_i!i^{\lambda_i}}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 and (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(G,n) &= \frac{\sum\limits_{(\phi,\sigma)\in M} |F_{(\phi,\sigma)}|}{|M|} \\ &= \frac{\sum\limits_{\phi\in Aut(G)} \sum\limits_{\lambda_1+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n} \frac{n!}{\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_n!1^{\lambda_1}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}} \prod\limits_{r=1}^{n} (\nu_{\phi,r}\mu_{\phi,r})^{\lambda_r}}{|\,Aut(G)\,|\; n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\,Aut(G)\,|} \sum_{\phi\in Aut(G)} \sum_{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\cdots+n\lambda_n=n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(\nu_{\phi,i}\mu_{\phi,r})^{\lambda_i}}{\lambda_i!i^{\lambda_i}}.\square \end{aligned}$$

References

[1] N. Andruskiewitsch and H. J. Schneider, Hopf algebras of order p^2 and braided Hopf algebras, J. Alg., **199** (1998), 430–454.

[2] N. Andruskiewitsch and H. J. Schneider, Lifting of quantum linear spaces and pointed Hopf algebras of order p^3, J. Alg., **209** (1998), 645–691.

[3] N. Andruskiewitsch and H. J. Schneider, Pointed Hopf algebras, new directions in Hopf algebras, edited by S. Montgomery and H. J. Schneider. Cambradge University Press, 2002.

[4] N. Andruskiewitsch and H. J. Schneider, Finite quantum groups and Cartan matrices, Adv. Math. **154** (2000), 1–45.

[5] Charles W. Curtis, Irving Reiner. Representation theory of finite group and associative algebras, New York:John Wiley&Sons, 1962.

[6] X. W. Chen, H. L. Huang, Y. Ye and P. Zhang, Monomial Hopf algebras, J. Alg.,, **275** (2004), 212–232.

[7] Pavel Etingof, Shlomo Gelaki, The classification of finite-dimensional triangular Hopf algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, eprint arXiv:math/0202258.

[8] I. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, Duke Math. J. , 66 (1992), 123-168.

[9] M. A. Hennings, Hopf algebras and regular isotopy invariants for link diagrams. Pro. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 109 (1991), 59-77.

[10] Guan-Zhang Hu, Applied Modern Algebras, Qinghua University Press, Beijing, 2005.

[11] L. Kauffman, invariants of links and 3-manifolds via Hopf algebras, in Geometry and Physics, Marcel Dekker Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. vol 184, 1997, 471-479.

[12] F. Van Oystaeyen and P. Zhang, Quiver Hopf algebras, J. Alg., **280** (2004), 577–589.

[13] Chen-Dong Pan, Chen-Biao Pan, Primary Number Theory, The Second Edition, Beijing University Press, Beijing, 2003.

[14] D. E. Radford, On Kauffman's knot invariants arising from finite-dimensional Hopf algebras, in Advances in Hopf Algebras. Marcel Dekker Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **158** (1994), 205-266.

[15] N. Yu. Reshetikhin and V. G. Turaev, Ribbon graphs and their invariants derived from quantum groups. Commun. Math. Phys. **127** (1990), 1–26.

[16] Michio Suzuki, Group Theory I, New York:Springer-Verlag, 1978.

[17] Shouchuan Zhang, Yaozhong Zhang, Huixiang Chen, Classification of PM Quiver Hopf Algebras, math. QA/0410150.

[18] Yuan-Da Zhang, The Structure of Finite Groups (I), Science Press, Beijing, 1982.